### Generating Borel Functions with Continuous Functions

Wojciech Bielas (University of Silesia)

joint work with

Michał Morayne (Wrocław University of Technology)

Tomasz Słonka (University of Silesia)

and the Referee

Hejnice, January 29, 2013

Let S be a semigroup and let  $U \subseteq S$ .

### Definition

The relative rank of S with respect to U is the minimal cardinality of a subset  $V \subseteq S$  such that  $\langle U \cup V \rangle = S$ , that is, U together with V generate S. We denote the relative rank by r(S : U).

### Theorem (Sierpiński, 1935)

The relative rank of the semigroup of all mappings from an infinite set A to A with respect to any subsemigroup is either uncountable or finite and then equal to 0, 1 or 2.

Let X be a linearly ordered set. Let  $\mathcal{O}_X$  be the set of all order-preserving functions from X to X.

Theorem (Higgins, Howie, Mitchell, Ruškuc, 2003)

Let X be a countably infinite linearly ordered set, or an infinite well-ordered set (of arbitrary cardinality). Then the relative rank of <sup>X</sup>X with respect to  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is 1.

If X is a metric space then let L(X) and C(X) be the class of all Lipschitz and all continuous functions from X to X, respectively. Let  $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}$  be a metric space with the metric d(x, y) = 1/n where  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, ...\}$  and *n* is the first coordinate such that  $x_n \neq y_n$ , for  $x \neq y$ .

Theorem (Cichoń, Mitchell, Morayne, 2007)

If  $\mathcal{N}$  is defined as above then we have  $r(C(\mathcal{N}) : L(\mathcal{N})) = \aleph_1$ . If x = (1, 1, ...) then  $r(C(\mathcal{N} \setminus \{x\}) : L(\mathcal{N} \setminus \{x\})) = 1$ .

Let B(X) be the family of all Borel functions from X to X.

### Theorem

If an uncountable Polish space X satisfies one of the following conditions

- X is 0-dimensional,
- X is homeomorphic to its square,

• X contains a homeomorphic copy of the interval [0,1], then  $r(B(X) : C(X)) = \aleph_1$ .

### Proof of the inequality $r(B(X) : C(X)) \ge \aleph_1$

Suppose that 
$$r(B(X) : C(X)) \leq \aleph_0$$
.  
Then there is a family  $\{\psi_n : n < \omega\} \subseteq B(X)$  such that  
 $\langle C(X) \cup \{\psi_n : n < \omega\} \rangle = B(X)$ .  
Let  
 $B_{\alpha}(X, Y) = \{f \in {}^XY : f^{-1}[U] \in \Sigma_{1+\alpha}^0(X) \text{ for each } U \in \Sigma_1^0(Y)\}$   
and  $B_{\alpha}(X) = B_{\alpha}(X, X)$ .  
We have:

#### Fact

For every  $f \in B(X)$  there is an  $\alpha < \aleph_1$  such that  $f \in B_{\alpha}(X)$ .

Thus for every  $n < \omega$  there is an  $\alpha_n < \aleph_1$  such that  $\psi_n \in B_{\alpha_n}(X)$ . Let  $\gamma = \sup_{n < \omega} \alpha_n + \omega$ . Knowing that  $g \circ f \in B_{\alpha+1+\beta+1}(X)$  for  $f \in B_{\alpha}(X)$  and  $g \in B_{\beta}(X)$ , we have that

$$f_k \circ \psi_{n_{k-1}} \circ \ldots \circ f_1 \circ \psi_{n_0} \circ f_0 \in B_{\gamma\omega}(X)$$

for any  $f_0, \ldots, f_k \in C(X)$  and  $n_0, \ldots, n_{k-1} < \omega$ . This leads to a contradiction, since  $B_{\gamma\omega}(X) \subsetneq B(X)$ .

# Preparation for a proof of $r(B(X) : C(X)) \leq \aleph_1$

Since X is uncountable there are Cantor sets  $D, E \subseteq X$  and homeomorphism  $\phi : D \times D \rightarrow E$ .

Fact

Every nonempty and closed subset of a 0-dimensional metric space is its retract.

Thus if X is 0-dimensional then every continuous function  $f \in C(D, E)$  has an extension  $g \in C(X, E)$ . Then

(\*) for every  $d \in D$  there is an  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $f|D = \phi(d, \cdot)$ .

If X contains a homeomorphic copy I of the unit interval, then adding requirement  $E \subseteq I$  we can use the Tietze extension theorem to make X satisfy the condition (\*).

### Preparation for a proof of $r(B(X) : C(X)) \leq \aleph_1$

If X is homeomorphic to its square, then there is a continuous injection  $h: D \times X \to X$ . In this case we define  $\phi = h|(D \times D)$ . Then  $\phi(d, \cdot) = h(d, \cdot)|D$  and  $h(d, \cdot) \in C(X)$  for any  $d \in D$ . Thus in this case X also satisfies the condition (\*).

#### Lemma

Assume that there are Cantor sets D, E contained in an uncountable Polish space X which satisfy the condition (\*), i.e. for every  $d \in D$  there is an  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $f|D = \phi(d, \cdot)$ . Then for every Borel function  $F : D \times X \to X$  there are Borel functions  $G, H \in B(X)$  such that for any  $d \in D$  there is an  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $F(a, \cdot) = G \circ f \circ H$ .

### Proof of Lemma

Let us recall that (Kuratowski, 1934) if X, Y are Polish spaces of the same cardinality then there exists a bijection  $f \in B_1(X, Y)$  from X onto Y such that  $f^{-1} \in B_1(Y, X)$ .

Thus there is a bijection  $H: X \to D$  such that  $H \in B_1(X, D)$  and  $H^{-1} \in B_1(D, X)$ .

For every  $e \in E$  we define

$$G(e) = F(\pi_1(\phi^{-1}(e)), H^{-1}(\pi_2(\phi^{-1}(e)))),$$

where  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  are projections. We see that  $G(\phi(a, b)) = F(a, H^{-1}(b))$  for every  $a, b \in D$ . Fix  $d \in D$ . From (\*) there is an  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $\phi(d, \cdot) = f|D$ . Thus for every  $x \in X$ ,

$$G(f(H(x))) = G(\phi(d, H(x))) = F(d, H^{-1}(H(x))) = F(d, x).$$

## Proof of the inequality $r(B(X) : C(X)) \leq \aleph_1$

#### Fact

Let D be a Cantor set. For each  $\alpha < \aleph_1$  there is a Borel function  $F_{\alpha} : D \times X \to X$  which is universal for the class  $B_{\alpha}(X)$ , i.e. for any  $f \in B_{\alpha}(X)$  there is a  $d \in D$  such that  $f = F_{\alpha}(d, \cdot)$ .

From the previous lemma there are functions  $G_{\alpha}, H_{\alpha} \in B(X)$  such that for every  $d \in D$  there is an  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $F_{\alpha}(d, \cdot) = G_{\alpha} \circ f \circ H_{\alpha}$ . Now it suffices to show that

$$\langle C(X) \cup \{G_{\alpha} : \alpha < \aleph_1\} \cup \{H_{\alpha} : \alpha < \aleph_1\} \rangle = B(X).$$

#### Fact

Let D be a Cantor set. For each  $\alpha < \aleph_1$  there is a Borel function  $F_\alpha : D \times X \to X$  which is universal for the class  $B_\alpha(X)$ , i.e. for any  $f \in B_\alpha(X)$  there is a  $d \in D$  such that  $f = F_\alpha(d, \cdot)$ .

Fix  $g \in B(X)$ . Then from the fact that  $B(X) = \bigcup_{\alpha < \aleph_1} B_{\alpha}(X)$  there is an  $\alpha < \aleph_1$  such that  $g \in B_{\alpha}(X)$ . There is also a  $d \in D$  such that  $F_{\alpha}(d, \cdot) = g$ . Functions  $G_{\alpha}$ ,  $H_{\alpha}$  were chosen in such a way that there is an  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $F_{\alpha}(d, \cdot) = G_{\alpha} \circ f \circ H_{\alpha}$ . Thus

$$g = G_{\alpha} \circ f \circ H_{\alpha} \in \langle C(X) \cup \{G_{\beta} : \beta < \aleph_1\} \cup \{H_{\beta} : \beta < \aleph_1\} \rangle.$$

# Is there an uncountable Polish space X such that $\aleph_1 < r(B(X) : C(X)) < \mathfrak{c}$ ?

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

э